

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 CRAIG RICHARD,

12 Plaintiff, No. 2:21-cv-1015-KJM-DMC-P

13 v.

ORDER

14 SAATEURN, et al.,

15 Defendants.

17 Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action under
18 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by
19 Eastern District of California local rules.

20 On July 9, 2021, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations, which
21 were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections within
22 the time specified therein. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed.

23 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*,
24 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed
25 de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law
26 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court
27"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
28 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations filed July 9, 2021, are adopted in full;

3 2. Plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, ECF No. 5, is

4 denied; and

5 3. Given that plaintiff has paid the filing fee, the matter is referred back to the

6 assigned Magistrate Judge for screening.

7 DATED: September 8, 2021.

8 
9 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28